



Neurotoxic Metal Coexposures: Claus Henn et al. Respond

Citation

Henn, Birgit Claus, David C. Bellinger, and Robert O. Wright. 2012. Neurotoxic metal coexposures: claus henn et al. respond. Environmental Health Perspectives 120(6): a226-a227.

Published Version

doi:10.1289/ehp.1205004R

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10489394>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

The correspondence section is a public forum and, as such, is not peer reviewed. EHP is not responsible for the accuracy, currency, or reliability of personal opinion expressed herein; it is the sole responsibility of the authors. EHP neither endorses nor disputes their published commentary.

Neurotoxic Metal Coexposures and Neurodevelopment

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205004>

Claus Henn et al. (2012) addressed a “real world scenario” of exposure to multiple neurotoxic metals in their unique and interesting study. They investigated manganese–lead coexposure and its association with neurodevelopmental deficiencies in Mexican children. Their rationale was that neurodevelopmental deficiencies of both metals together could be more severe than expected based on effects of exposure to each metal alone. Indeed, they observed a synergism between manganese and lead. Given the early age of the subjects (12 and 24 months of age), I suggest that some confounders not included in their model deserve consideration in regard to this study.

Claus Henn et al. (2012) collected information on duration of breast-feeding, but it seems that in their statistical analyses, they adjusted only for sex, gestational age, hemoglobin, maternal IQ (intelligence quotient), and maternal education. Other confounders, such as thimerosal (a compound containing ethylmercury that is used as a preservative in some vaccines) and breast-feeding, may influence neurodevelopment outcomes. In countries such as Mexico, children 12–24 months of age may be immunized with thimerosal-containing vaccines (TCVs) (WHO 2011). Because of opposite effects on the central nervous system, the combination of breast-feeding and ethylmercury may influence neurodevelopmental outcomes. Kramer et al. (2008) showed that children who were exclusively breast-fed had improved cognitive development. Indeed, Kostial et al. (1978) demonstrated that infant rats fed cow’s milk diets absorbed more lead and manganese, which are associated with a higher relative retention of mercury in the brain.

Blood levels of lead and manganese are indicators of ongoing exposure; however, ethylmercury has a short half-life and thus is unlikely to be concurrently measured in blood (Dórea et al. 2011). Nevertheless we can ascertain exposure from vaccination cards (Dórea et al. 2012; Marques et al. 2009). Following participants in the National Immunization Program of Mexico, the amount of ethylmercury from routine immunizations against hepatitis B (three doses), DTP (diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis, three doses), and influenza can be estimated from records on vaccination

cards. Additionally, during pregnancy, Mexican mothers may receive tetanus toxoid (TT) vaccines and other products, such as anti-RhoD immune globulins (given to Rh-negative mothers) that may contain thimerosal (Marques et al. 2009). These sources of prenatal and postnatal ethylmercury exposure should be considered significant sources of an additional neurotoxic coexposure—organic mercury.

Claus Henn et al. (2012) realized that information on the association of neurodevelopment and coexposure to multiple chemicals is limited; the scientific literature is even more scarce for the specific exposure to small amounts of ethylmercury derived from TCVs (Oken and Bellinger 2008), which are largely used in nonindustrialized countries. However, recent work has suggested that when studies with young children are properly adjusted for exposure to TCVs, subtle neurodevelopmental effects can be demonstrated (Dórea et al. 2012; Marques et al. 2009; Mrozek-Budzyn 2011a, 2011b). Therefore, the potential for interaction of ethylmercury, manganese, and lead provides an opportunity to expand our knowledge.

Factors related to maternal neurotoxic exposure and neurodevelopment (e.g., breast-feeding) are significant in studies of children’s exposure to ethylmercury (Marques et al. 2009). The study design used by Claus Henn et al. (2012) could provide further information on this timely issue and also provide direction for future studies of contaminants and confounders that affect neurodevelopment.

The author declares that he has no actual or potential competing financial interests.

José G. Dórea

Faculty of Health Sciences
Universidade de Brasília
Brasília, Brazil

E-mail: dorea@udah.com.br

REFERENCES

- Claus Henn B, Schnaas L, Ettinger AS, Schwartz J, Lamadrid-Figueroa H, Hernández-Avila M, et al. 2012. Associations of early childhood manganese and lead coexposure with neurodevelopment. *Environ Health Perspect* 120:126–131.
- Dórea JG, Bezerra VL, Fajon V, Horvat M. 2011. Speciation of methyl- and ethylmercury in hair of breastfed infants acutely exposed to thimerosal-containing vaccines. *Clin Chim Acta* 412:1563–1566.
- Dórea JG, Marques RC, Isejima C. 2012. Neurodevelopment of Amazonian infants: antenatal and postnatal exposure to methyl- and ethylmercury. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2012:132876; doi:10.1155/2012/132876.
- Kostial K, Kello D, Jugo S, Rabar I, Maljković T. 1978. Influence of age on metal metabolism and toxicity. *Environ Health Perspect* 25:81–86.
- Kramer MS, Aboud F, Mironova E, Vanilovich I, Platt RW,

Matush L, et al. 2008. Breastfeeding and child cognitive development. New evidence from a large randomized trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 65:578–584.

Marques RC, Dórea JG, Bernardi JV, Bastos WR, Malm O. 2009. Prenatal and postnatal mercury exposure, breastfeeding and neurodevelopment during the first 5 years. *Cogn Behav Neurol* 22:134–141.

Mrozek-Budzyn D, Majewska R, Kiełtyka A, Augustyniak M, Mroz E, Skarupa A. 2011a. The influence of neonatal exposure to thimerosal containing vaccines on infants development in the first years of life [Abstract]. *Ped Res* 70:338.

Mrozek-Budzyn D, Majewska R, Kiełtyka A, Augustyniak M, Mroz E, Skarupa A. 2011b. The influence of infant’s exposure to thimerosal containing vaccines on the development in the first three years of life [Abstract]. *Ped Res* 70:340.

Oken E, Bellinger DC. 2008. Fish consumption, methylmercury and child neurodevelopment. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 20:178–183.

WHO. Immunization Profile – Mexico. 2011. Available: http://apps.who.int/immunization_monitoring/en/globalsummary/countryprofilresult.cfm?C=mex [accessed 2 May 2012].

Neurotoxic Metal Coexposures: Claus Henn et al. Respond

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205004R>

We thank Dórea for his comments on the importance of examining breast-feeding and ethylmercury exposure in our study of manganese–lead coexposures and neurodevelopment (Claus Henn et al. 2012). We agree that both breast-feeding and organic mercury exposure may affect neurodevelopment and have the potential to act as confounders and/or effect modifiers in analyses of metal effects on neurodevelopment.

To be a confounder, a variable must be associated with both exposure and outcome. In our data, duration of breast-feeding was not strongly associated with exposures (measured by blood manganese and lead levels). When breast-feeding variables were forced into final models, the manganese–lead effect estimates did not change appreciably. Although breast-feeding did not appear to be an important confounder in our data, we agree with Dórea that this factor needs to be considered in studies of prenatal and early life environmental exposures and neurodevelopment.

We agree with Dórea that organic mercury may be an important coexposure, acting potentially as a confounder and/or effect modifier of the manganese–lead association with neurodevelopment. We do not have detailed data on vaccination rates and ages. However, if the primary source of mercury exposure among these participants is via thimerosal-containing vaccines, as Dórea suggests, then in order for mercury to be a confounder, becoming vaccinated must be associated with manganese and lead exposures. We posit that any vaccination and lead–manganese exposure association would be weak at best, thereby reducing concerns that confounding by ethylmercury would explain observed associations between

manganese–lead exposure and neurodevelopment. Nonetheless, we recognize that exposure to mercury and other co-pollutants may be a source of unmeasured confounding, which we stated in our article (Claus Henn et al. 2012).

Three-way interactions are undoubtedly important and warrant attention. The limited sample size in our study population precludes us from looking at a manganese–lead–mercury interaction. In the future, epidemiologic studies should be designed with sufficient power to address the impact of multiple metal exposures, including three-way interactions.

The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Birgit Claus Henn
David C. Bellinger
Robert O. Wright

Department of Environmental Health
Harvard School of Public Health
Boston, Massachusetts
E-mail: bclaus@hsph.harvard.edu

REFERENCE

Claus Henn B, Schnaas L, Ettinger AS, Schwartz J, Lamadrid-Figueroa H, Hernández-Avila M, et al. 2012. Associations of early childhood manganese and lead coexposure with neurodevelopment. *Environ Health Perspect* 120:126–131.

ERRATA

The April 2012 Forum article “Shifting Mountains of Electronic Waste” [*Environ Health Perspect* 120:A148–A149 (2012)] incorrectly suggested that Ruediger Kuehr does not support a ban on e-waste shipments from developed to developing countries. Kuehr does support such a ban in accordance with the 1989 Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal, but he also supports reuse of electronic equipment—which may necessitate international shipments—to reduce the environmental footprint associated with manufacturing.


The May 2012 Focus article originally appeared in print under the title “Offshore Exploration to Commence in the Arctic: Can Shell’s Oil-Spill Response Plans Keep Up?” [*Environ Health Perspect* 120:A194–A199 (2012)]. The title has been edited online to reflect that, as of this writing, Shell has not received final approval to begin exploratory drilling in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas.

EHP regrets the errors.

Stay Connected

This iPhone app provides easy access to

- » Ahead-of-Print research articles, reviews, and commentaries
- » Current issue
- » Archived issues
- » *The Researcher’s Perspective* podcast series



Get it for your iPhone or iPod Touch. Get it for FREE.



Scan with your
iPhone or iPod Touch
QR reader
to get the *EHP* app.

To download the free app
visit the iTunes App Store, or
log on to
www.ehponline.org/mobile